

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,302	03/27/2002	Stefan Hulsmann	SCHUB I	8375
23599 7.	23599 7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/937,302	HULSMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward J. Webman	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 .</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration. re rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 3) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10-13, 15, 17, 19-20, 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zettler et al in view of Budavari et al.

Zettler et al teach solid dosage forms comprising a drug made by extrusion (abstract). Polyvinylpyrrolidone is disclosed as a binder (column 3 line 48).

Triglycerides are specified (column 4 lines 44-47). Estradiol and ethinylestradiol is disclosed (column 6 line 2). 0.1-95% active is specified (column 5 lines 25-26). Tablets are disclosed (column 8 line 64).

Budavari et al teach that desogestrel is used with estrogens in oral contraceptives.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add desogestrel in the composition of Zeitler et al to achieve the beneficial effect of an oral contraceptive. As to the claimed extrusion without heat imput, Zettler et al teach that heating can be done outside the extruder (column 3 lines 9-12). As to the claimed grinding, such would be an obvious expedient to make a granulate for tablets or capsules.

Applicants argue hindsight reconstruction, however, Zettler et al teach applicants' three classes of ingredients (compare applicants' active, extrusion additive, and adjuvant to Zettler et al's pharmaceutical active, flow promoter, and binder/plasticizer).

Applicants argue picking and choosing, however, as asserted previously, Zettler et al

Art Unit: 1616

disclose that, for the purposes of their invention, the particular species are equivalent. Applicants argue there is no teaching of equivalency, however, the listing of suitable compounds for a particular class, for example, binders, indicates that all the compounds in the list are equivalent for the purpose of binding. Applicants argue that Zettler et al teach away from plasticizers, now citing *Alza Corp.* 73 USPQ2d 1161. However, as stated previously, the disclosure cites the absence of plasticizers as a preference, not a prohibition. Further, *Alza Corp.* refers to a "clear disavowal" of a compound, whereas here, Zettler et al merely refers to a preference.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 13, 17, 19-20, 22-24, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meignant.

Meignant teaches a composition comprising estradiol, polyethylene glycol and solid triglycerides (column 6 lines 15-20).

Applicants now argue that Meignant teach micronized estradiol. However, it appears that micronization is merely a preference(see column 2 line 50 "free or

Art Unit: 1616

vectorized micronized" and claim 1 versus claims 19-20). Further, "micronized" may be interpreted as a process limitation. Such limitations are not considered patentable during prosecution of composition claims before the USPTO.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 13, 17, 19-20, 22-24, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al.

Rosenberg et al teach a melt-extruded drug form (abstract). Good thermoplasticity is specified (column 1 line 56). Glycerol tribehenate (column 2 line 23) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (column 2 line 26) are disclosed. Any drug under the conditions of melt extrusion is specified (column 2 lines 49-50). Extrusion at 50 degrees Centigrade is disclosed (column 2 line 63). Tablets are specified (column 3 line 3).

The examiner takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that estradiol has a melting point of 173-179 degrees Centigrade.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to deliver estradiol in the vehicle of Rosenberg et alto achieve the beneficial effect of a vehicle with good thermoplasticity and in view of the fact that the melting point of estriadiol is such that it will not decompose under the conditions of extrusion Rosenberg et al specify.

Claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9-13, 15, 17, 19-20, 22-24, 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appel et al.

Appel et al teach a controlled release dosage form which extrudes part of the core (abstract). Polyvinylpyrrolidone is disclosed (column 9 line 4). Saccharose

Art Unit: 1616

monopalmitate is specified (column 12 line 13, see also column 15 line 64). Tablets are disclosed (column 3 line 25). Low-solubility drugs are specified (column 6 line 5 et seq.).

The examiner takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that estradiol is almost insoluble in water.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to achieve deliver estradiol in the vehicle of Appel et al to achieve the beneficial effect of controlled delivery and in view of the fact that the solubility of estradiol is such that it meets the design criteria for the vehicle.

Claims 1, 4, 6-13, 15, 17, 19, 22, 24, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 26 "obtainable" is vague; it is unclear as to whether what follows is definitely claimed. See claim 20. In claim 28 "an polyvinylpyrrolidone" is indefinite. Is "a" intended? Claims 28-29 do not end with a period.

Claims 1, 4, 6-13, 15, 17, 19-20, 22-32 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,302 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500